## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

PSARA ENERGY, LTD., Plaintiff, § § § § No. 1:15-CV-355 **ADMIRALTY** v. SPACE SHIPPPING, LTD; ADVANTAGE ANTHEM SHIPPING, LLC; GENEL DENIZCILIK§ NAKLIYATI A.S. A/K/A GEDEN LINES; ADVANTAGE TANKERS, LLC; ADVANTAGE HOLDINGS, LLC; and FORWARD HOLDINGS, § LLC, § Defendants. §

#### ORIGINAL VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff PSARA ENERGY, LTD by and through undersigned counsel, for its Original Verified Complaint against Defendants: SPACE SHIPPPING, LTD; ADVANTAGE ANTHEM SHIPPING, LLC; GENEL DENIZCILIK NAKLIYATI A.S. A/K/A GEDEN LINES; ADVANTAGE TANKERS, LLC; ADVANTAGE HOLDINGS, LLC, and FORWARD HOLDINGS, LLC alleges and pleads as follows:

#### I. JURISDICTION, VENUE AND PARTIES

1. This is an admiralty and maritime claim within the meaning of rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in that it involves claims for the breach of a maritime contract *i.e.* an executed bareboat charter party for the employment of a seagoing cargo vessel. This case also falls under this court's admiralty and maritime jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1333, and is brought under the provisions of Rule B of the Supplemental Rules For Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, and the Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. §§ 4, 8 in aid of maritime arbitration.

- 2. At all times material hereto Plaintiff, PSARA ENERGY, LTD (hereinafter "PSARA" or "Plaintiff"), was a corporation organized under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands and the registered owner of the Motor Tanker CV STEALTH (hereinafter the "CV STEALTH"), a crude oil tanker vessel registered in Malta.
- 3. At all times material hereto Defendant, SPACE SHIPPPING, LTD. (hereinafter "SPACE"), was and is a foreign company organized under the laws of Malta and the bareboat charterer of the CV STEALTH under a bareboat charter party contract dated February 23, 2010 ("the bareboat charter"). A copy of the bareboat charter is attached hereto as **EXHIBIT 1.**
- 4. At all times material hereto Defendant, ADVANTAGE ANTHEM SHIPPING, LLC (hereinafter "ANTHEM SHIPPING") was, and is a corporate entity organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of the Bahamas, and the registered owner of Motor Tanker ADVANTAGE ANTHEM, a tanker vessel registered in the Marshall Islands, with IMO No. 9472634 and international call sign V7KZ4.
- 5. At all times material hereto Defendant GENEL DENIZCILIK NAKLIYATI A.S. A/K/A GEDEN LINES (hereinafter "GEDEN") was and is a foreign corporate entity organized under the laws of Turkey and the Operator, ISM Manager, and Manager of the CV STEALTH, under the bareboat charter (see EXHIBIT 1, at box 4); see also attached copies of the GEDEN webpage hereto attached as **EXHIBIT 2**.
- 6. At all times material hereto ADVANTAGE TANKERS, LLC (hereinafter "ADVANTAGE TANKERS") was a foreign limited liability company organized under the laws of a country other than the United States, and as a holding company owns 100% of defendant ANTHEM SHIPPING.

- 7. At all times material hereto ADVANTAGE HOLDINGS, LLC (hereinafter "ADVANTAGE HOLDINGS") was a foreign limited liability company organized under the laws of a country other than the United States, and as a holding company owns 100% of defendant ADVANTAGE TANKERS.
- 8. At all times material hereto FORWARD HOLDINGS, LLC (hereinafter "FORWARD HOLDINGS") was a foreign limited liability company organized under the laws of a country other than the United States, and as a holding company owns 100% of defendant ADVANTAGE HOLDINGS.
- 9. The jurisdiction of this Honorable Court is founded on the presence within the District of property of the Defendants that may be attached by process of maritime attachment and garnishment under the provisions of Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, as pled more fully in Section V of this Original Verified Complaint.

#### II. THE SUBSTANTIVE CLAIMS

10. Under the bareboat charter party dated February 23, 2010 ("the bareboat charter") and addenda thereto dated June 2, 2010; June 21, 2010; and January 29 2010 Plaintiff chartered its vessel CV STEALTH for a "a term of 5 years straight period +/- 30 days in Charterer's option plus 1 or 2 years optional year(s) declaration by Charterers 5 months prior end of the firm period" to "Geden Holdings Limited, Malta or nominee always guaranteed by Geden Line." *See* EXHIBIT 1, box 21, 4. The vessel was delivered to the service of the nominee of Geden Holding Ltd. i.e. SPACE and to GEDEN LINE and was used and operated for profit by them as part of GEDEN's managed non-owned fleet. *See* copies of relevant pages from the website of GEDEN LINE advertising the CV STEALTH hereto attached as **EXHIBIT 2**.

- 11. Plaintiff delivered the vessel into the bareboat chartered service and rendered, and continues to render the contractual performance required of it. However, Defendants SPACE and GEDEN, (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Charterers"), though possessing and using the CV STEALTH, are failing and refusing to perform their hire payment obligations under the bareboat charter party contract and are in default and indebted to Plaintiff for various sums, which are due and owing, as set out below.
- 12. Charterers have failed and are refusing to pay bareboat charter hire at the agreed rates for the period of July 1 through July 31, 2015 in the total amount of USD \$302,250.00 which has been duly invoiced and otherwise demanded of them. Under the terms of the bareboat charter, hire is payable monthly in advance. Charterers have also failed to pay hire for the period of August 1 through August 31, 2015 in the total amount of USD \$302,250.00 which has been duly invoiced and otherwise demanded of them. Charterers have also failed to pay hire for the period of September 1 through September 30, 2015 in the total amount of USD \$321,750.00 (*see copies* of the July, August, and September 2015 hire invoices hereto attached as **EXHIBIT 3**),
- 13. Currently, the total outstanding amount of charter hire remaining unpaid for the months of July, August, and September 2015 5 is \$ 926,250.00. Charterers are, accordingly, in default of their obligation to pay charter hire in the total amount of **USD \$926,250.00**.
- 14. The Charterers continue to possess the CV STEALTH but are unjustly failing and refusing to pay Plaintiff the amounts they owe under the bareboat charter, even though they have realized earnings by having employed her under charters to third parties.

#### III. UNDERLYING PROCEEDINGS ON THE MERITS

15. Box 35 and clause 30(a) of the bareboat charter party (**EXHIBIT 1**) provide for arbitration of all disputes arising out of the contract in London. Plaintiff intends to claim the full

outstanding amount in London arbitration.

- 16. Plaintiff estimates the legal costs that will be incurred to pursue these claims in London maritime arbitration proceedings will be approximately USD \$200,000. As it is customary in London arbitration, legal costs, including lawyers' fees are awarded to the prevailing party.
- 17. This action is an ancillary proceeding, brought in order to obtain jurisdiction over Defendant Charterers and to obtain security for Plaintiff's claims in the London proceedings.

### IV. <u>DEFENDANTS' CORPORATE IDENTITY</u>

- 18. Notwithstanding their formal separate incorporation, the Defendants are in actual fact a single business enterprise pursuing functionally differentiated business objectives through nominally separate business structures but always subject to the command and control of Defendant GEDEN which is the parent company of Defendants SPACE, ANTHEM SHIPPING, ADVANTAGE TANKERS, ADVANTAGE HOLDINGS, and FORWARD HOLDINGS, as is more specifically pled hereunder.
- 19. When Plaintiff entered in the bareboat charter party with Defendant SPACE guaranteed by its parent GEDEN, and, subsequently, throughout the years of performance, GEDEN represented itself as the owner and operator for profit of a fleet of tanker and dry cargo vessels and also the operator for profit of non-owned chartered-in ships. *See e.g.* **EXHIBIT 2**; *see also* representations made at p. 40, of a true and correct copy of an initial public offering prospectus dated June 19, 2012 attached hereto as **EXHIBIT 4** and hereinafter referred to as "the GEDEN Prospectus."
- 20. Under the GEDEN Prospectus, it was represented that the shares of the single-ship-companies that owned GEDEN's fleet of tankers were owned by a Maltese holding company, Geden Holdings, Ltd. The fleet of vessels owned by GEDEN included, among others, the Motor

Tanker POWER, (*Id.*, **EXHIBIT 4**<sup>1</sup> at pp. 77, 84, 86; 87). (*See* also pp. 16 and 17 in copy of a restructuring proposal prepared in 2013 for Defendant Genel Denizcilik Nakliyati A.S. by Alix Partners relating to changing the ownership structure of Genel Denizcilik Nakliyati A.S in 2013 attached hereto as **EXHIBIT 5**. This document was filed in the record of the case *Icon Amazing*, *LLC v. Amazing Shipping*, *LTD. et al* in the Southern District of Texas as ECF Doc. 33-6 in Civil Action 13 cv. 01449. The M/T POWER was registered in the name of a single ship owning corporate entity - Barbaros Maritime, Ltd (*EXHIBIT 4* at p. 85), which was entirely owned by GEDEN's controlled holding company, Geden Holdings, Ltd. which was and is controlled by members of the Karamehmet family. *Id.*, at p. 29. 76; EXHIBIT 5 at pp. 2, 5, 12,

- 21. Ultimately, the M/T POWER and all other vessels of the GEDEN fleet of tankers and dry cargo vessels were controlled by Defendant GEDEN, (EXHIBIT 4 at pp.77-78; EXHIBIT 5 at p. 2) which in turn was controlled by Cukurova Group, a leading Turkish conglomerate ultimately and beneficially owned by members of the Karamehmet family. *See* EXHIBIT 4 at pp. 76-77.
- 22. GEDEN publicly held out the vessels it owned through one-ship-companies, including the M/T POWER as its own assets. *See* **EXHIBIT 2**; **EXHIBIT 4** at p. 29, and **EXHIBIT 5**, generally. Even though each vessel in the fleet of GEDEN, which had not been chartered-in or leased-in from a third party, was registered in the name of an individual one-ship-company; GEDEN, beneficially owned and operated these vessels as assets of a single business enterprise. The purported corporate independence of the respective "SPV" vessel owners was simply fictitious, as they were entirely subjugated to GEDEN's business goals and policies, which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The entire prospectus is approximately 230 pages. For this Original Verified Complaint, Plaintiff has included the introductory pages of the prospectus and relevant excerpts referred to herein. Plaintiff will provide the complete prospectus should this Honorable Court request a copy of same.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Referred to by the said defendant as an "SPV" i.e. a "special purpose vehicle." See EXHIBIT 4 pp. 29, 170

were implemented by GEDEN management and staff. See EXHIBIT 5 generally.

- 23. In this process, the GEDEN SPV's were mere limbs and organs of a larger corporate organism as evidenced by the common business strategy GEDEN had pursued in order to cope with the current shipping market crisis, and the unsuccessful attempt to spin off an initial public offering in which the assets of the "subsidiaries" were identified as assets of the GEDEN group. *See* **EXHIBIT 4** at p. 40.
- 24. According to the information publicly disclosed by GEDEN, Barbaros Maritime, Ltd which owned the M/T POWER was entirely owned by GEDEN. *See* **EXHIBIT 2** "Geden Fleet" and **EXHIBIT 5** generally.
- 25. Throughout the portion of the bareboat charter term that has been already performed, SPACE, remained as spelled out in the charter a "nominee" (*See* **EXHIBIT 1**, box 4) performing a merely nominal role with all of Plaintiff's business under the charter actually being transacted with GEDEN, for and on behalf of GEDEN and SPACE.
- 26. Defendants GEDEN and SPACE (as well as Geden Holdings Ltd.) have had common officers, common directors, and common parent companies, and common beneficial owners as follows: Mr. M. Bülent Ergin, was director of GEDEN, Geden Holdings Ltd., and Çukurova Holding A.S; Mr. A. Tugrul Tokhöz was on the board of directors of GEDEN, Geden Holdings Ltd., and Çukurova Holding A.S; Mr. Mehmet Mat was the chief financial officer of GEDEN and Geden Holdings Ltd. (*See* EXHIBIT 4 at pp. 100-101). The ownership of GEDEN; Geden Holdings Ltd.; and Cukurova Holding AS was entirely in the hands of the Karamehmet family in Turkey. *Id.*, **EXHIBIT 4** at pp. 5, 76-77; 151.
- 27. According to the shipping database EQUASIS, under the history of the M/T POWER, though her listed ownership was in Defendant Barbaros Maritime, Ltd., GEDEN

always held and continues to hold the International Safety Management Code Document of Compliance for M/T POWER (*See*: copy of EQUASIS database record hereto attached as **EXHIBIT 6**; copy of the vessel's record of ISM certification hereto attached as **EXHBIT 7**); and also holds the certificate of financial responsibility (COFR) for the same vessel. (*See* Record of Certificate of Financial Responsibility issued by the U.S. Coast Guard hereto attached as **EXHIBIT 8**).

- 28. Beginning in March 2013 hire payments to Plaintiff and to other vessel owners who had similar bareboat chartering arrangements became at intervals erratic and often late.
- 29. By making diligent enquiries in the shipping market and closely following the performance of the Geden Group, Plaintiff learned that GEDEN was contemplating and considering in consultation with its financing banks to radically change the corporate ownership structure of its shipping business in a manner likely to involve wholesale repudiation of charter parties and shifting of its assets under new corporate ownership that would severely compromise its ability to honor its obligations under its performance guarantee and similarly the ability of its wholly owned subsidiaries', including the ability of SPACE, to render their performance.
- 30. More specifically the record in a civil action previously filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas disclosed a document authored by business Consultants of Geden (*EXHIBIT 5*) which outlined a restructuring plan which among other things would:
  - A. Expropriate Geden of its shipping assets (vessels) from its subsidiaries that owned them "into appropriate newcos" (new corporate entities) for the purpose of making the assets unreachable by creditors to whom Geden and respective subsidiaries had undertaken important obligations, a process that Geden and its consultants have characterized as

"Ring-fence potential sources of disruption, holdouts, or nuisance (such as arrests or sister-

ship arrests)". **EXHIBIT 5** at p 7;

В. Establish the successor corporate entities ("newcos") of Geden with such a

shareholding structure as to minimize the risk of the assets being arrested by unpaid

creditors. EXHIBIT 5 at p. 40;

C. Relegate creditors who have extended non-financing credit to the Geden group of

companies to a "Group D" status outside the "privileged" creditors of the "newco" groups.

Such creditors included Plaintiff and other owners within the Stealth group who have

bareboat chartered vessels to the Geden Group. **EXHIBIT 5** at p. 7.

31. With the improvement of the tanker market in early 2015, Defendant GEDEN, with

the cooperation of its financing banks, set out to implement its plan of changing the ownership

structure of their business by taking the following specific actions.

32. Defendant GEDEN caused the SPV's which controlled nine (9) of its largest and

most profitable tankers to sell them to a new set of one- ship-companies ("newco's"), as it had

planned and contemplated to do under its re-structuring scheme noted in the foregoing, and as

detailed in **EXHIBIT 4** and **EXHIBIT 5**, and had these vessels re-named and reflagged – from

the Maltese to the Marshall Islands flag. Thus the ownership/flag/name of the ships was changed

as follows:

FORMER NAME: PROFIT

**NEW NAME: ADVANTAGE SOLAR** 

FORMER OWNER: PROFIT SHIPPING LTD

NEW OWNER: ADVANTAGE SOLAR SHIPPING LLC

FORMER NAME: TARGET

NEW NAME: **ADVANTAGE ARROW** 

FORMER OWNER: TARGET SHIPPING LTD.

NEW OWNER: ADVANTAGE ARROW SHIPPING LLC

\_\_\_\_\_

9

FORMER NAME: TRUE

NEW NAME: **ADVANTAGE AVENUE** FORMER OWNER: **TRUE SHIPPING LTD.** 

NEW OWNER: ADVANTAGE AVENUE SHIPPING LLC

\_\_\_\_\_

FORMER NAME: BLUE

**NEW NAME: ADVANTAGE SKY** 

FORMER OWNER: BLUE SHIPPING LTD.

NEW OWNER: ADVANTAGE SKY SHIPPING LLC

.....

FORMER NAME: PINK

NEW NAME: **ADVANTAGE SUMMER** FORMER OWNER: **PINK SHIPPING LTD.** 

NEW OWNER: ADVANTAGE SUMMER SHIPPING LLC

FORMER NAME: BLANK

**NEW NAME: ADVANTAGE START** 

FORMER OWNER: BLANK SHIPPING LTD.

NEW OWNER: ADVANTAGE START SHIPPING LLC

-----

FORMER NAME: **REEF** 

NEW NAME: **ADVANTAGE SPRING** FORMER OWNER: **REEF SHIPPING LTD** 

NEW OWNER: ADVANTAGE SPRING SHIPPING LLC

-----

FORMER NAME: BRAVO

**NEW NAME: ADVANTAGE ATOM** 

FORMER OWNER: BRAVO SHIPPING LTD.

NEW OWNER: ADVANTAGE ATOM SHIPPING LLC

-----

FORMER NAME: POWER

**NEW NAME: ADVANTAGE ANTHEM** 

FORMER OWNER: BARBAROS MARITIME LTD.

NEW OWNER: ADVANTAGE ANTHEM SHIPPING LLC

- 33. At the same time Defendant GEDEN transferred the legal and equitable title to the ownership of the said vessels from Geden Holdings, Ltd. to another new holding company *i.e.* Defendant ADVANTAGE TANKERS.
- 34. ADVANTAGE TANKERS is 100% owned by another holding company *i.e.* Defendant ADVANTAGE HOLDINGS, which in turn is 100% owned by Defendant FORWARD

HOLDINGS.

- 35. FORWARD HOLDINGS is 85% owned by Mrs. Gulsun Nazil Karamehmet, Williams and 15% by Mr. Ali Tugrul Toghoz. Mrs. Karamehmet Williams is the daughter of the principal owner of the business group Mr. Mehmet Emin Karamehmet.
- 36. Attached hereto and marked as **EXHIBIT 9** is a diagrammatic representation of the ownership / control structure of the GEDEN-owned SPV's following the change of holding companies as noted in the foregoing captioned "SCHEDULE II Organizational Chart" which is extracted from the Marshall Islands Vessel Register record of a Mortgage dated April 2, 2015 "in respect of the vessel M.V. "ADVANTAGE ARROW" ex "TARGET", and a "Loan Facility Agreement relating to the financing of MV "TRUE" (tbr "ADVANTAGE AVENUE" and MV "TARGET" (tbr "ADVANTAGE ARROW").<sup>3</sup>
- 37. With specific reference to the M/T POWER analogous changes were made to the registration and ownership structure of this vessel as follows: the vessel was sold by her previous owner Barbaros Maritime, Ltd. to her present owner Advantage Anthem Shipping, LLC and was renamed ADVANTAGE ANTHEM (See Loan Agreement Document extract from p. 24 of loan agreement dated May 13, 2015 excerpts of which are hereto attached as **EXHIBIT 10**). The holding company of the corporate owner of the vessel, became ADVANTAGE TANKERS, LLC which also became the loan agreement guarantor (*Id.* P. 12) and the commercial manager remained Genel Denizcilik Naklyiati A.S. i.e. Defendant Geden Lines) *Id.* at p.5, which also remained the vessel's technical manager. *See* Extract from mortgage on the ADVANTAGE ANTHEM dated May 13, 2015, hereinafter referred to as **EXHIBIT 11**, at 14 ¶ 6.27. Under the mortgage agreement the ADVANTAGE ANTHEM is cross-collateral for loans extended to Advantage Anthem

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For the sake of brevity extracts from this record will be referred to as "Mortgage Record Extract".

Shipping, LLC; Advantage Atom Shipping. LLC; and Advantage Award Shipping. LLC. under the loan agreement of the said parties with Unit Credit Bank AG.

- 38. In restructuring the corporate ownership of its most valuable assets, siphoning them out to other nominee companies, and "ring-fencing" them with various layers of corporate shells, for the express purpose of making them inaccessible as a source of recourse to creditors to whom GEDEN and its wholly owned subsidiaries are indebted, GEDEN and its co-defendants actively abused the corporate form for the express wrongful purpose of defeating legitimate claims of creditors whose assets GEDEN and its co-defendants have used, and are continuing to use to enrich themselves.
- 39. The M/T ADVANTAGE ANTHEM is one of the assets which is beneficially owned by Defendant GEDEN, and the said Defendants has tried to "ring-fence" out unpaid creditors, including Plaintiff by means of transferring her legal ownership, and changing her registration in order to prevent said creditors from attaching her as a source of security. But, notwithstanding appearances, ownership and control over the said vessel remains with the same beneficial owners, as and when she was named POWER and was registered in Malta.
- 40. By reason of the relationship of complete dominion and control that Defendant GEDEN has exercised over its subsidiaries ANTHEM SHIPPING and SPACE, taking into consideration the foregoing facts and circumstances, it would be just, equitable and proper for the Court to exercise its equitable powers to pierce the corporate veil of ANTHEM SHIPPING as an alter ego of GEDEN, SPACE, ADVANTAGE TANKERS, ADVANTAGE HOLDINGS, and FORWARD HOLDINGS so that the vessel M/T ADVANTAGE ANTHEM may be attached with process of maritime attachment and garnishment as a source of security for Plaintiff's May and June 2015 unpaid hire installment.

# V. APPLICATION FOR ATTACHMENT UNDER SUPPLEMENTAL ADMIRALTY RULE B

- 41. None of the Defendants are present or can be found in the District within the meaning of Rule B of the Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Law Claims. *See Attorney Declaration of George Gaitas attached hereto as* **EXHIBIT 12**. Nevertheless, Defendants have within the District tangible or intangible personal property in the hands of parties who may be named garnishees in the process of maritime attachment and garnishment consisting of debts, credits, or effects.
- 42. More particularly the M/T ADVANTAGE ANTHEM, a tanker vessel with IMO No. 9472634 and international call sign V7KZ4, owned by Defendants, is or will soon be within the Eastern District of Texas and is expected to berth therein.
- 43. Defendant GEDEN, used and is continuing to use its purported corporate separateness, and the purported separate incorporation of its surrogate subsidiaries SPACE, ANTHEM SHIPPING, ADVANTAGE TANKERS, ADVANTAGE HOLDINGS, and FORWARD HOLDINGS abusively, to wit: to engage in fraudulent corporate restructuring and asset reallocation practices to avoid paying agreed and accrued hire, for the M/T CV STEALTH and to repudiate with impunity their obligations under the bareboat charter party.
- 44. Defendant GEDEN has contracted with Plaintiff, and is using its completely dominated surrogate shell entities SPACE, ANTHEM SHIPPING, ADVANTAGE TANKERS, ADVANTAGE HOLDINGS, and FORWARD HOLDINGS as alter egos, intending to reap all the benefits but pay none of the costs. Defendants are accordingly, using the corporate form abusively, *i.e.* to perpetrate fraud and commit other injustice. It would be, accordingly, fair and equitable to pierce (or reversely pierce) the corporate veil of GEDEN, SPACE, ANTHEM SHIPPING, ADVANTAGE TANKERS, ADVANTAGE HOLDINGS, and FORWARD HOLDINGS in order

to reach the economic value of the M/T ADVANTAGE ANTHEM which said Defendants have compartmentalized in separate corporate pockets, to the detriment of Plaintiff.

- 45. Alternatively, Defendants having operated, at all relevant times, as a single enterprise, and being the *alter ego* of each other in the circumstances set in the above and foregoing Original Verified Complaint, it would be fair and equitable for any asset of this enterprise to be subject to the claims of judgment creditors of the enterprise, such as Plaintiff, and for any such assets to be attached as security for Plaintiff's maritime claims.
- 46. Plaintiff has maritime claims against the Defendants arising out of maritime contract (*i.e.* the bareboat charter party with GEDEN and SPACE dated May 27, 2010 and the performance guarantee of GEDEN of the same date).
  - 47. The amount of Plaintiff's claim as reasonably as it can be estimated is as follows:

Total Claim\$	1,183,088.00
C. Recoverable Legal Fees and Costs\$	200,000.00
B. Interest at 6% compounded quarterly for 1 year\$	56,838.00
A. Charter Hire due and owing\$	926,250.00

48. Therefore, Plaintiff's total claim for breach of the maritime contracts against Defendants is in the aggregate sum of **USD 1,183,088.00.** 

#### WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays as follows:

- A. That process in due form of law, according to the practice of this Honorable Court in matters of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction be issued against Defendants and said Defendants be cited to appear and answer the allegations of this Original Verified Complaint;
- B. That since the Defendants cannot be found within this District pursuant to Supplemental Rule B, all of the assets of the Defendants presently within this District, or assets

expected in this District during the pendency of this action, including, but not limited to, the M/T

ADVANTAGE ANTHEM and/or any assets within the possession, custody or control of any other

garnishee upon whom a copy of the Process of Maritime Attachment and Garnishment issued in

this action may be served, be attached and garnished in an amount sufficient to answer Plaintiff's

claim;

C. That this Court retain jurisdiction over this matter through the entry of any

judgment or award associated with any of the claims currently pending, or which may be initiated

in the future, including any appeals thereof;

D. That judgment be entered against each of the Defendants in the sum of One Million

and One Hundred Eighty Three Thousand and Eighty Eight Dollars (USD \$ 1,183,088.00),

together with interest and costs, and the proceeds of the assets attached be applied in satisfaction

thereof;

E. That the Court grant such other relief as it deems, just, equitable and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 18, 2015

Houston, TX

CHALOS & CO, P.C.

By: /s/ George A. Gaitas

George A. Gaitas

State Bar No. 24058885

Federal Bar No. 705176

Briton P. Sparkman

Federal Id No. 1148116

7210 Tickner Street

Houston, Texas 77055

Telephone: 713-936-2427

Fax: 866-702-4577

E-mail:gaitas@chaloslaw.com

bsparkman@chaloslaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

PSARA ENERGY, LTD.